1 The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 DAVID GOLDSTINE, 9 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:18-cv-01164 MJP 10 v. **DECLARATION OF ADA K. WONG IN** FEDEX FREIGHT, INC., a Washington State 11 SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION entity; "DOE(S) 1-100", employees TO COMPEL FURTHER DISCOVERY of **FEDEX** FREIGHT. INC.; **RESPONSES AND FOR ATTORNEY'S** 12 and CORPORATION(S) XYZ 1-100, **FEES** 13 Defendants. 14 15 I, Ada K. Wong, declare, 16 1. I am the attorney of record for Plaintiff David Goldstine in this matter and make 17 the following statements based on my personal knowledge. 18 On September 24, 2018, Plaintiff Goldstine served Defendant FedEx Freight, 2. 19 Inc. (hereinafter "FedEx") with Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories and Requests for 20 Production to Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc. (hereinafter "Plaintiff's Discovery Requests"). 21 Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Discovery Requests. 22 23 DECLARATION OF ADA K. WONG IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S AKW LAW, P.C.

DECLARATION OF ADA K. WONG IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER DISCOVERY RESPONSES AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES - 1 Case No. 2:18-cv-01164 MJP

6100 219th St. SW, Suite 480 Mountlake Terrace, WA 98043 Tel. (206) 259-1259 / Fax (855) 925-9529

- 3. On January 7, 2019, nearly four weeks after Plaintiff filed his initial Motion to Compel Discovery Responses and for Attorney's Fees (hereinafter "First Motion to Compel"), Defendant FedEx served its responses, titled "Initial Disclosures" and "Answer to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories." Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of FedEx's Initial Disclosures. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of FedEx's Answer to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories.
- 4. FedEx's "Initial Disclosures" provided written responses to Plaintiff's requests for production, but were served without any responsive documents.
- 5. However, deficiencies in FedEx's discovery responses persisted, requiring further meet and confer efforts.
- 6. On January 14, 2019, I e-mailed a letter to FedEx's counsel Medora Marisseau and Jerrald Shivers outlining these deficiencies in advance of our meet and confer conference scheduled for January 17, 2019. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of my January 14, 2019 letter to Ms. Marisseau and Mr. Shivers.
- 7. On January 17, 2019, counsel held a telephonic meet and confer conference regarding FedEx's's discovery responses. FedEx's counsel stated that FedEx would supplement many of its interrogatory answers and produce responsive answers and documents.
- 8. During the January 17, 2019 meet and confer conference, FedEx's counsel stated it was unable to provide me with a definite date as to when I should expect the documents, but that they would let me know such a date by January 22, 2019. I stressed that I wanted the responsive documents and supplemental answers by the end of January, especially given the delay in receiving FedEx's initial responses only after Plaintiff filed his First Motion

3

5

4

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

2223

to Compel. I also mentioned that Plaintiff did not prefer to file another motion to compel but needed FedEx's full responses and documents soon to prepare to take depositions.

- 9. On January 22, 2019, I sent a letter via e-mail to Ms. Marisseau and Mr. Shivers memorializing our January 17, 2019 meet and confer conference. Attached hereto as **Exhibit**E is a true and correct copy of my January 22, 2019 letter to Ms. Marisseau and Mr. Shivers.
- 10. On January 23, 2019, after the Court approved Donald Snook's application to appear *pro hac vice* on behalf of Defendant FedEx, I sent a follow-up e-mail to Ms. Marisseau and Mr. Snook, inquiring about supplemental discovery responses and documents, emphasizing the importance of receiving them by the end of January to avoid the need to involve the court. Attached hereto as **Exhibit F** is a true and correct copy of my January 23, 2019 e-mail to Ms. Marisseau and Mr. Snook.
- 11. On January 25, 2019, Mr. Snook responded to me via e-mail stating that he "cannot guarantee that I will get everything we discussed to you by the end of the month. But I am attempting to get it all asap." I replied asking for a specific date and for the supplemental answers, if not all responsive documents, by the end of January. Mr. Snook replied, "Yes, I should be able to get the supplemental answers to you by the end of the month." Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a true and correct copy of the aforementioned January 25, 2019 e-mail chain between Mr. Snook and myself.
- 12. On January 31, 2019, Mr. Snook e-mailed me, stating "[w]e have had two emergencies come up. I am working on the responses and will have something for you tomorrow. I apologize for the delay." Having received no responses, on February 5, 2019, I followed up yet again to inquire as to the progress of FedEx's responses. Attached hereto as

Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of the aforementioned January 31, 2019–February 5, 2019 e-mail chain between Mr. Snook and myself.

- 13. On February 8, 2019, I e-mailed a letter to Mr. Snook and Ms. Marisseau regarding Plaintiff's noting of the depositions of two key witnesses. In this letter, I also reminded FedEx's counsel that Plaintiff had still not received the promised responsive documents to Plaintiff's discovery requests and requested that FedEx serve them by Monday, February 11, 2019. I communicated that if Plaintiff did not receive the responses by that date, we reserved the right to immediately seek court intervention, though it was not our preferred course of action. Attached hereto as **Exhibit I** is a true and correct copy of my February 8, 2019 letter to Mr. Snook and Ms. Marisseau.
- 14. To date, I have not received any additional communication from FedEx's counsel or even confirmation of when FedEx would serve the responses that Mr. Snook promised by February 1, 2019. As such, the underlying Motion follows.
- 15. Time is of the essence as three depositions are schedule for March 2019: (1) Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Defendant on March 21, 2019, (2) Deposition of David Appesland on March 27, 2019, and (3) Deposition of Christy Tayman on March 28, 2019. Both Mr. Appesland and Ms. Tayman are/were employees of Defendant. Attached hereto as **Exhibit J** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition of Defendant on March 21, 2019. Attached hereto as **Exhibit K** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of David Appesland on March 27, 2019. Attached hereto as **Exhibit L** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Notice of Deposition of Christy Tayman on March 28, 2019.

16. Defense counsel are well aware of the upcoming depositions and the need for Plaintiff's counsel to have the opportunity to thoroughly review any discovery responses and documents, as well as engage in any further met and confer conferences if necessary, prior to the depositions.

Request for Attorney's Fees

- 17. I received my Bachelor of Arts from the University of California, Davis in 2008. I received my Juris Doctor with a Dispute Resolution Concentration from the University of Washington School of Law in 2012. I was admitted to the California Bar in December 2012 and maintain an active license and practice in California state and federal courts. I was admitted to the Washington Bar in May 2013. I am licensed in the Eastern District of Washington, the Western District of Washington, the Northern District of California, the Eastern District of California, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.
- 18. I graduated from college in 2 years and 1 quarter, then I worked and volunteered in the legal profession for approximately 1.5 years before attending law school at the University of Washington School of Law. I graduated from law school 1 quarter early and worked as a law clerk at a plaintiff's civil litigation law firm in Bellevue. Upon being licensed, I became an Associate Attorney at the Bellevue firm with active cases in California and Washington.
- 19. In mid-2014, I transitioned to working at different plaintiff's civil litigation law firm in Downtown Seattle. I handled cases in California and Washington.
- 20. In August 2015, I started my own law firm and continued to maintain an active practice in California and Washington.

1	21. I have been an instructor at various CLEs and events, and have published
2	articles.
3	22. I was also an Adjunct Professor at the Seattle University School of Law in
4	spring 2018. I currently serve as an Arbitrator in King and Snohomish Counties.
5	23. I believe an hourly rate of \$375 for my experience, background, and expertise,
6	is more than reasonable.
7	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the
8	foregoing is true and correct and is based upon my personal knowledge.
9	DATED February 13, 2019, in Mountlake Terrace, WA.
10	/s/ Ada K. Wong
11	Ada K. Wong, WSBA #45936
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby certify that on February 13, 2019, I caused to be electronically filed the
3	foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send
4	notification of such filing to the following:
5	Medora A. Marisseau
6	Karr Tuttle Campbell 701 Fifth Avenue, Ste. 3300
7	Seattle, WA 98104 Email: MMarisseau@karrtuttle.com
8	Donald H. Snook, TN Bar #21775
9	FedEx Freight, Inc. 1715 Aaron Brenner Drive, Suite 600
10	Memphis, TN 38120 E-mail: <u>Donald.snook@fedex.com</u>
11	Counsel for Defendant FedEx Freight, Inc.
12	
13	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the
14	foregoing is true and correct.
15	DATED: February 13, 2019.
16	/a/Vaila A. Eskant
17	/s/ Kaila A. Eckert Kaila A. Eckert, Paralegal
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

DECLARATION OF ADA K. WONG IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL FURTHER DISCOVERY RESPONSES AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES - 7 Case No. 2:18-cv-01164 MJP